Cook Inlet Gas Supply Project Phase I Assessment

Alaska Mining Association Conference

November 5, 2024

Lieza Wilcox



Cornerstone Energy Services

THINKBRG.COM



BRG Introduction

• • •

.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.
.<

• •



BRG Global Presence

More than 1,300 professionals in 45 offices across five continents





BRG Sectors

Our industry knowledge is broad and deep, here are some of the many sectors that we know inside and out



Energy & Climate



Healthcare



Financial Services



Construction



Telecom, Media & Technology





Retail and Consumer



Higher Education



Alternative Asset Management

•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	
٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	
٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	•	
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	

Expertise Across the Energy Value Chain

BRG's Energy & Climate experts provide integrated business advisory and dispute resolution services to help energy companies, investors, buyers, and sellers navigate today's policy, economic, market, pricing, and competitive imperatives. **⇔BRG**



Climate

Change



Energy & Climate Services



BRG

Assessment Review

• • •

• •



Working Group Participants

Demand Group













State Agencies







Key Phase I Conclusions

- Cook Inlet gas cannot fully meet demand forecast beyond 2026 with current proved reserves or beyond early/mid 2030s assuming incremental local supply development
- While continuing to work on Cook Inlet options, other project(s) must be pursued due to lead time to implement
- It is vital for the Alaska utilities to have control of the pace of option development due to the impending gas shortage
- Several viable options to supplement and Cook Inlet gas supply need to be progressed further to enable a sanction decision on one option



Current Contracted Supply Compared to Demand Scenarios





Range of Potential Gas Requirements Associated with Renewable Power Adoption





Why are Schedule and Reliability Top Priorities?

Headed to Anchorage -



Need anything?



Credit: Lucasfilm/Disney

Credit: Starwars.com



Gas Supply Opportunities – Phase I

	Ortion		CAPEX	Throughput Gas		Midstream	Cost of Supply	
	Option	Years	\$ mm	Bcf/year	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	\$/Mcf	
1	Cook Inlet Gas	3 - 4	Up to \$1500 - \$2000	Up to ~ 23	\$9.3 - \$25.5	Included	\$9.3 - \$25.5	
2 (a)	In-State Pipeline (Private)	6 - 7	~ \$8,790	Up to 105	\$1.3 - \$2.6	\$26.9 - \$34.4	\$28.2 - \$37.0	
2 (b)	In-State Pipeline (Subsidized 80%)	6 - 7	~ \$8,790	Up to 105	\$1.3 - \$2.6	\$7.8 - \$10.0	\$9.2 - \$12.6	
2 (c)	In-State Pipeline (State Owned)	6 - 7	~ \$8,790	Up to 105	\$1.3 - \$2.6	\$6.0 - 7.4	\$7.3 - \$10.0	
3	Kenai LNG	4 - 5	\$768	Up to 55	\$8.6 - \$8.9	\$3.4 - \$4.7	\$12.0 - \$13.6	
4	Greenfield Port and Regas	6 - 7	\$876	Up to 55	\$8.6 - \$8.9	\$4.0 - \$5.3	\$12.6 - \$14.2	
4 (b)	Greenfield Port and Regas (Subsidized 80%)	6 - 7	\$876	Up to 55	\$8.6 - \$8.9	\$2.3 - \$3.3	\$10.9 - \$12.2	
4 (c)	Greenfield Port and Regas (State Owned)	6 - 7	\$876	Up to 55	\$8.6 - \$8.9	\$2.2 - \$3.1	\$10.8 - \$12.0	
5	FSRU - Own/Lease	4 - 6	\$607 / \$201	Up to 55	\$8.6 - \$8.9	\$3.6 - \$5.0	\$12.2 - \$13.9	
6	Barge / Small LNG Carrier	4 - 5	\$563	Up to 25	\$8.6 - \$8.9	\$13 - \$14	\$21.6 - \$23.0	
7	Alaska LNG	7 - 8	~ \$43,000	Up to 183	\$1.3 - \$2.6	\$3.1	\$4.4 - \$5.8	
8	LNG Truck and/or Rail	3 - 4	\$321	~ 9	\$2.50	\$22.5 - \$29.5	\$25 - \$32	
9	RNG	Unknown	N/A	~ 1	~ \$25	Included	~ \$25	
10	Hydrogen (green)	2035 +	Unknown	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$ > 40	

13



Top Scoring Options to Diversity Future Gas Supply

A. Cook Inlet Gas Supply

- Remains a preferred top-scoring option but is not sufficient to meet long-term demand forecast
- One of the closely studied options to fill near-term supply gaps

B. Floating Storage and Regasification Unit (FSRU)

- Existing terminals
- New FSRU mooring system

C. Land-Based Regasification Terminal

- Multiple options / configurations exist
- More permanent solution than FSRU

D. North Slope Pipeline

- Long distance pipeline only viable with state participation / subsidy when considering utility demand exclusively
- Provides broad and long-term benefits across the state



Current Status

- Majority of Phase I recommendations for project cost refinement and commercial development are completed or ongoing
 - No fundamental changes in the outlook for local demand or supply; uncertainty in the pace of natural gas replacement by renewables persists
 - Detailed project schedule assessment has moved realistic start dates for several import options beyond 2027
 - Cost projections have improved since Phase I by refining design and execution strategies
- > The goal is an affordable bridge solution
- Utilities are also working on near-term options involving renewable generation, incremental supply, and storage



"Cold-iron" FSU and Re-gas Barge in EemsEnergyTerminal, Netherlands (Energos)

FSRU at Inkoo Terminal, Finland (Excelerate Energy)

Energy Transition and Energy Security Require Flexible Solutions

BRG ENERGY & CLIMATE



••••

· · · ·

· · · ·

· · · ·

•••